

## **REMARKS**

By this amendment, no claims have been added or cancelled. Claims 1 and 21 have been amended. Hence, Claims 1, 3-13, 15, 20-21, 23-33, 35 and 40-42 are pending in the application.

### **SUMMARY OF DECISION ON APPEAL**

The Examiner's rejection of the claims on appeal were affirmed.

On page 7 of the decision, it was noted that in particular, the broad language of Claim 1 did not specify exactly what entity receives content provided by one or more services as part of the recited "step of storing said data records." Also, on page 9 of the decision, it was again noted that the broad language of Claim 1 does not specify exactly what entity receives content provided by one or more services as part of the recited "step of storing said data records."

### **THE REJECTIONS**

Claims 1, 3-4, 9-13, 15, 20, 21, 23, 24, 29-33, 35, and 40-42 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(e) as being anticipated by Young.

Claims 5-8 and 25-28 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over the teachings of Young in view of Patterson.

### **CLAIM 1 IS PATENTABLE OVER THE CITED ART**

Claim 1 has been amended to further clarify the subject matter to which patent protection is desired. Namely, *inter alia*, language directed to a web server and a database have been added. Support for the addition can be found in Figure 1 and the accompanying text. Reference to a first server and a second server are more clearly articulated. Support can be found at least in Figure 1 and the accompanying text. Further, attention is directed to an example problem described in the specification at the end of

page 1 and through the second paragraph of page 2. An example implementation is described in the first paragraph on page 8 of the specification. That is, the claimed subject matter is concerned with content generated by a content provider that contains a particular type of information, such as an address. The web server identifies what portions of the provided content constitute the particular type of information, or, in this example, an address. The web server stores informational data related to the particular type of data (or address) in the data records. Then, upon a request from a device for another content provider, the stored data items extracted from the provided content from the first content provider allows the same data items to be resubmitted as user input to the other content provider. Hence, content from a first content provider can be shared with (stored and included in a subsequent message) a second content provider through a web server.

In stark contrast, the Young reference does not teach or fairly suggest receiving content from a first content provider (a first service), storing records associated with said received content from the first content provider, presenting to a user a set of data items associated with the records that are associated with the received content from the first provider, to allow the user to select a data item from the set, and then sending the selected data item to a second content provider (a second service.) The Young reference discloses accessing a database at the merchant server (See Fig. 3, step 46, and Fig. 1, item 21.) Referring to [0037] to the Young reference, the Young reference discloses a wallet application 17 which contains personal information of the user 5. However, no where does the Young reference disclose or fairly suggest *at least*:

said webserver receiving a first message from said device requesting ~~said first a~~  
second service, wherein said ~~first~~ second service requires said particular  
type of information for input;

said webserver reading said data records from said database and transmitting data from said data records to said device to cause said device to present a user interface ~~allowing a particular data item of said plurality of data items to be selected~~ that presents a set of data items associated with said particular type of information, wherein said set includes said one or more data items associated with said particular type of information;  
said webserver receiving a second message indicating a selection from said device of said a particular data item from said set; and  
said webserver sending said particular data item to said ~~first~~ second service of  
said plurality of services.

That is, as an example, the Young reference does not teach, nor can be fairly modified to receive address information from a restaurant (a first service), store data related to such address at a web server, and, upon a request from a mobile device to obtain directions from the restaurant to a theater from the theater's content provider (a second service), allow the user to select the restaurant's address from a list (presenting a user interface that presents a set of data items ... , wherein said set includes said one or more data items associated with said particular type of information), and obtain the directions (said webserver sending said particular data item to said second service of said plurality of services).

In view of the discussion hereinabove and of the amendment to Claim 1, it is respectfully submitted that at least one element featured in Claim 1 is not disclosed, taught, or fairly suggested by the cited art. Therefore, Claim 1 is patentable over the cited art and is in condition for allowance.

**CLAIMS 3-13, 15, 20-21, 23-33, 35 AND 40-42 ARE PATENTABLE OVER  
THE CITED ART**

Claims 3-13, 15, 20-21, 23-33, 35 and 40-42 each feature limitations that are similar to those discussed above with respect to Claim 1. Therefore, it is respectfully submitted that Claims 3-13, 15, 20-21, 23-33, 35 and 40-42 are also in condition for allowance for at least the reasons given above with respect to Claim 1. In addition, each

of Claims 3-13, 15, 20-21, 23-33, 35 and 40-42 introduces one or more additional limitations that independently render it patentable.

### CONCLUSION


For the reasons set forth above, it is respectfully submitted that all of the pending claims are now in condition for allowance. Therefore, the issuance of a formal Notice of Allowance is believed next in order, and that action is most earnestly solicited.

The Examiner is respectfully encouraged to contact the undersigned by telephone if it is believed that such contact would further the examination of the present application.

To the extent necessary, a petition for an extension of time under 37 C.F.R. § 1.136 is hereby made. Please charge any fee shortages or credit any overages to Deposit Account No. 50-1302.

Respectfully submitted,

HICKMAN PALERMO TRUONG & BECKER LLP



Julia A. Thomas  
Reg. No. 52,283


2055 Gateway Place, Suite 550  
San Jose, CA 95110-1089  
(408) 754-1451  
**Date: May 29, 2007**  
Facsimile: (408) 414-1076

#### CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: **Mail Stop RCE**, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

On May 29, 2007

By

  
Tracy Reynolds